

Management of Hepatic Veno-occlusive Disease (VOD)

Background

Hepatic veno-occlusive disease (VOD) or sinusoidal obstructive syndrome (SOS) is a disorder characterised by obstruction of small intra-hepatic venules and damage to the surrounding centrilobular hepatocytes and sinusoids. It occurs principally as a complication of chemo-irradiation therapy, particularly following BMT. The pathophysiological process leads to the clinical syndrome of weight gain, ascites, painful hepatomegaly and jaundice, with multi-organ failure (MOF) in severe cases. The incidence of SOS/VOD varies with the intensity of the conditioning regimen, the type of transplant and the presence of risk factors, but at present is 10-15% after allogenic transplant with myeloablative conditioning, compared with <5% after autologous/RIC conditioning. The most severe forms are associated with a high mortality rate (>80%).

Risk Factors

Risk factors include transplant-related, patient and disease-related and hepatic-related factors;

Transplant-related

- Unrelated, HLA mismatched, second HCT
- Myeloablative conditioning, oral or high-dose busulfan-based regimen, high-dose TBI
- Non T-cell depleted transplant

Patient and disease-related

- Older age
- Karnovsky sore < 90%
- Female receiving norethisterone
- Advanced disease
- Thalassemia, high ferritin >2000

Hepatic-related

- Transaminases > 2.5 ULN
- Serum bilirubin >1.5 ULN
- Cirrhosis
- Active viral hepatitis
- Abdominal or hepatic irradiation
- Hepatotoxic drugs
- Previous use of gemtuzumab ozogamicin or inotuzumab ozogamicin
- Iron overload



Diagnosis

VOD is usually a clinical diagnosis. VOD is defined (on the EBMT criteria for SOS/VOD in adults which are based on the Baltimore criteria below) as below:

Classical SOS/VOD in the first 21 days after HSCT	Late onset SOS/VOD >21 days after HSCT		
Bilirubin ≥ 34 micromol/L plus two of the following:	Classical VOD beyond day 21 OR		
 Hepatomegaly Weight gain >5% Ascites 	Histologically proven SOS/VOD OR Two or more of the following: • Bilirubin ≥ 34 micromol/L • Painful hepatomegaly • Weight gain >5% • Ascites AND Haemodynamical and/or ultrasound evidence of SOS/VOD		

Differential Diagnosis

Infections (CMV/adenovirus)
Drug toxicity
Hepatitic GVHD
Congestive cardiac failure leading to hepatic obstruction

Investigations (mainly to exclude other causes)

- Liver function tests
- Creatinine, Urea and electrolytes
- PT, APTT and Fibrinogen
- Ultrasound including Doppler studies (not usually diagnostic, but can exclude other causes of hepatic impairment and confirm ascites)
- CMV/Adenovirus PCR
- Transvenous liver biopsy

NB. Percutaneous liver biopsy, in patients with platelet counts $< 60 \times 10^9$ /l has an unacceptable risk of bleeding. The risk/benefit analysis of liver biopsy should be carefully considered and appropriate treatment not delayed when considering biopsy.



EBMT criteria for severity grading of a suspected SOS/VOD						
	Mild	Moderate	Severe	Very severe		
Time since clinical onset (days)	>7	5-7	≼4 Days	Any time		
Bilirubin (µmol/L) Kinetics	≥34 and <51	≥51 and <85	>85 and <136 Doubling within 48 h	≥136		
Transaminases	≤ 2 × normal	> 2 and≤5 × normal	>5 and ≤ 8 × normal	>8 × Normal		
Weight increase	< 5%	≥5% and <10%	≥5% and <10%	≥10%		
Renal function	<1.2 × baseline	≥1.2 and<1.5 × baseline	≥1.5 and <2 × baseline	≥2 × baseline or other signs MOF		

Prophylaxis

All patients at risk of VOD must receive: Oral Ursodeoxycolic acid 300 mg PO BD (<90 kg) or 450mg BD for patients (>90 kg), until day + 30 post-transplant or discharge home whichever is the latest (BCSH guidelines 2013, Cochrane Review 2015).

Treatment

The mainstay of treatment is supportive management with careful fluid balance, and judicious use of diuretics. Patients may also require treatment with defibrotide; this should be discussed with the consultant.

Supportive care

- Twice daily weight and fluid balance.
- Nutritonal support, preferably enteral (parenteral nutrition is associated with fluid overload, infectious complications, and hepatotoxicity, and should be avoided)
- Daily renal and liver function testing.
- Diuresis (furosemide and/or spironolactone).
- Withold nephrotoxic/hepatotoxic drugs.
- Oxygen therapy/therapeutic drainage of massive ascites/symptomatic pleural effusions.
- In patients with severe renal dysfunction, hemodialysis/hemofiltration is required.
- Early discussion with critical care specialists and hepatology are recommended in severe cases.
- The usefulness of transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt is limited to symptomatic control, with no benefit on survival

Defibrotide

Defibrotide is the agent with proven efficacy for the treatment of VOD/SOS and is usually indicated for treatment of severe, or moderate VOD/SOS if not responding to supportive measures or those who have rapidly rising weight or bilirubin (2x or 3x over 24 hours. The latter fall into a poor prognosis group, with a mortality of upwards of 50%. Given the mortality associated with severe and very severe SOS/VOD, it is mandatory to treat these patients



promptly, and DF should be initiated as soon as possible. Defibrotide should be continued until the bilirubin level has normalized.

Funding: Defibrotide is routinely commissioned in patients who have a diagnosis of severe venoocclusive disease following BMT based on clinical criteria (modified Seattle or Baltimore criteria) or histopathological findings.

Requires BLUETEQ application (if a Bluteq form cannot be completed due to logistical reasons, treatment must not be delayed, the form must be completed subsequently retrospectively at the earliest opportunity- agreed with NHSE pharmacist).

Dosing and administration:

Dosing and admin					
Dose	Defibrotide 6.25mg/kg QDS every SIX hours (i.e.25mg/kg/day in fo				
	divided doses)				
	It is not recommended to dose above 25 mg/kg/day.				
Dose	Renal impairment/haemodilysis: No dose adjustment required				
adjustments	Hepatic impairment : No dose adjustment is required. Monitor closely.				
Method of	IV infusion : Give as an intravenous infusion over 2 hours using an infusion				
Administration	set with a 0.2microns or equivalent in-line filter.				
Dilution	Dilute with sodium chloride 0.9% or glucose 5% to a final concentration in				
	the range of 4 mg/mL to 20 mg/mL**.				
	Follow the injectable monograph for further administration details.				
	**If fluid restricted, use minimum possible dilution fluid volume.				
Treatment	The treatment should be administered for a minimum of 21 days				
Duration	(occasionally earlier) and continued until the symptoms and signs of VOD				
	resolve				
Adverse Events	Generally mild but the following reported				
	 Active bleeding (ca. 50% of patients) 				
	• Hypotension (ca. 25% of patients)				
	A sensation of generalised heat if administered rapidly				
	 Rare instances of dizziness, nausea, vomiting & diarrhea, all abating spontaneously 				
	Flushing, headache and allergic reactions have occasionally been reported				

Management of haemorrhagic risk with Defibrotide

For invasive procedures, in addition to platelet transfusions, DF should be suspended at least 2 h before and 2 h after the procedure, given its relatively short half-life (<2 h). For patients with life-threatening bleeding, DF must be immediately discontinued, and its resumption should be discussed on a case per case basis and according to the risk/benefit ratio.



References

- 1. NHS England Clinical Commissioning Policy: Use of defibrotide in severe veno-occlusive disease following stem cell transplant. January 2015. B04/P/c.
- 2. Mohty M et al. Revised diagnosis and severity criteria for sinusoidal obstruction syndrome/veno-occlusive disease in adult patients: a new classification from the European Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation Bone. Marrow Transplant. 2016 Jul; 51(7): 906–912.
- 3. Defibrotide (Defitelio®) Summary of Product Characteristics. Available online https://www.medicines.org.uk/emc/product/4999 (last updated May 2019)
- 4. Schulman HM et al. Hepatic veno occlusive disease-liver toxicity syndrome after bone marrow transplantation. BMT 1992; 10; 197-214
- 5. Wadleigh M et al. Prior gemtuzumab ozogamicin exposure significantly increases the risk of veno occlusive disease in patients who undergo myeloablative allogeneic stem cell transplant. Blood. 2003; 102; 1578-1582
- 6. Bearman SI et al. Veno occlusive disease of the liver: development of a model for predicting fatal outcome after bone marrow transplantation. JCO 1993; 11; 1729-1736-2462
- 7. Carreras E et al. On the reliability of clinical criteria for the diagnosis of hepatic veno occlusive disease. Ann Hematol 1993; 66; 77-80
- 8. Attal M et al. Prevention of hepatic veno occlusive disease after bone marrow transplantation by continuous infusion of low dose heparin: a prospective randomised trial. Blood 2002; 79; 2834-2840
- 9. Marsa-Vila L et al. Prophylactic heparin does not prevent liver VOD following autologous bone marrow transplantation. Europ J Haematology 1991; 346-354
- 10. Richardson PG et al. Multi-institutional use of defibrotide in 88 patients after stem cell transplantation with severe veno occlusive disease and multi organ failure: response without significant toxicity in a high risk population and factors predictive of outcome. Blood 2002; 100: 4337-4343
- 11. Richardson PG et al. Defibrotide for the treatment of severe hepatic veno-occlusive disease and multiorgan failure after stem cell transplantation: a multicenter randomized dose-finding trial. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant. 2010; 16: 1005-1017
- 12. BCSH Guidelines, Veno-Occlusive Disease, 2013. BCSH/BSBMT guideline: Diagnosis and management of veno-occlusive disease (sinusoidal obstruction syndrome) following haematopoietic stem cell transplantation.
- 13. Cheuk DKL et al Interventions for prophylaxis of hepatic veno-occlusive disease in people undergoing haematopoietic stem cell transplantation (Review). The Cochrane Collaboration. The Cochrane Library 2015, Issue 5.
- 14. Richardson et al. Phase 3 trial of defibrotide for the treatment of severe veno-occlusive disease and multi-organ failure. Bloods 2016; 127 (13) 1656-1665
- 15. Mohty, M et al. "Revised diagnosis and severity criteria for sinusoidal obstruction syndrome/veno-occlusive disease in adult patients: a new classification from the European Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation." Bone marrow transplantation vol. 51,7 (2016): 906-12. doi:10.1038/bmt.2016.130
- 16. Mohty, M., Malard, F., Abecasis, M. et al. Prophylactic, preemptive, and curative treatment for sinusoidal obstruction syndrome/veno-occlusive disease in adult patients: a position statement from an international expert group. Bone Marrow Transplant 55, 485–495 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41409-019-0705-z

Authors



Tim Littlewood, BMT Programme Director – Original and Version 2, 2004 Claire Humphries, Specialist Pharmacist – Original and Version 2, 2004 Ram Malladi, MRC Clinical Research Fellow and Honorary Specialist Registrar – Version 3, 2008 Denise Wareham BMT Co-ordinator – Amendments, 2009 **Audit**

These processes are subject to the OxBMT audit programme **Circulation**NSSG Haematology Website



Review

Name	Revision	Date	Version	Review date
Dr Andy Peniket,	Minor	May 2011	3.1	May 2013
BMT Programme Director				
Prof. Vanderson Rocha,	Full review	Oct 2014	4.0	Oct 2016
Consultant Haematologist				
Dr Andy Peniket,	Ferritin assessment, Fragmin from	July 2015	4.1	Oct 2016
BMT Programme Director	admission to Day 0, BSCH reference.			
Sandy Hayes, Quality				
Manager				
Dr Andy Peniket,	Full review and discontinuation of	Nov 2015	5.0	Nov 2017
BMT Programme Director	heparin and fragmin for patients at			
Sandy Hayes, Quality	risk. Cochrane review reference.			
Manager				
Dr Mimi Sheikh,				
Specialist Haematology Reg	** 1	E 1 2017		E 1 2010
Cheuk-Kie Cheung,	Update with dose, renal adjustment	Feb 2017	5.1	Feb 2019
		T 1 2010	6.0	T 1 2021
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	July 2019	6.0	July 2021
•				
3	1			
	BLUTEQ requirement. References			
_				
	Protocol review day Rick factors	April	7.0	April 2024
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			7.0	April 2024
		2022		
3	Troumont			
Andy King, Haematology registrar Nadjoua Maouche, Lead Haematology pharmacist Cristina Ovas, BMT Quality and Data Manager Gavinda Sangha, Haematology Registrar Nadjoua Maouche, Lead Haematology pharmacist Cristina Ovas, Quality and Data Manager	and supplier, reference Protocol review day Diagnosis. Criteria. Dosing and administration. Update Defibrotide funding and BLUTEQ requirement. References Protocol review day. Risk factors, Investigation, Prophylaxis and Treatment	July 2019 April 2022	7.0	July 2021 April 2024